Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/664,959	KATSUYAMA, YUTAKA
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sherali Ishrat	2621
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Sherali Ishrat.	(3)	•
(2) Randall Becker.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 May 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-35 Prior art documents discussed: Applied art in the previous office action		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
Tal-Mal		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sign	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney that to place the application in condition for allowance, Applicant's need to cancel non-elected claims and claims 1-2, 6, 13-14, and 20-21 which are broad claims and can be rejected using prior art. Claims 15-19 and 22-23 have incorporated allowable subject matter and they are allowable over prior art of record. Examiner also informed Applicant Attorney that specification needs to be amended to incorporate continution/divional information i..e this application is divisional of application 09/ 228,427 which is now U.S patent 6701010. Applicant's Attorney agreed to cancel claims 1-14 and 24-35 and amend the specification to include continuation/divisional information by an examiner's amendment..